

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/606,233	02/23/96	SLEMMER	W	93-C-07C1

B5M1/0403

RICHARD K ROBINSON SGS THOMSON MICROELECTRONICS INC 1310 ELECTRONICS DRIVE M S 2346 CARROLLTON TX 75006

EXAMINER

CUNNINGHAM. T

PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 2504

DATE MAILED:

04/03/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No.

Applicant(s)

08/606,233

Slemmer

Examiner

Terry D. Cunningham

Group Art Unit 2504



	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a) X expires <u>three</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
,	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
	olicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Mar 17, 1997</u> has been considered with the following effect, is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The proposed amendment(s):
	🛛 will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	will not be entered because:
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	☐ they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection to claims 27 and 29 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.
	The rejection to claims 27 and 29 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a
	The rejection to claims 27 and 29 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.
	The rejection to claims 27 and 29 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition
	The rejection to claims 27 and 29 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
	The rejection to claims 27 and 29 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Contrary to Applicant's remarks, the "predetermined threshold voltage level" of the primary power supply is the voltage level of the battery. Also, node 52 is clearly a "summing node".
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Contrary to Applicant's remarks, the "predetermined threshold voltage level" of the primary power supply is the
	The rejection to claims 27 and 29 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Contrary to Applicant's remarks, the "predetermined threshold voltage level" of the primary power supply is the voltage level of the battery. Also, node 52 is clearly a "summing node". The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Contrary to Applicant's remarks, the "predetermined threshold voltage level" of the primary power supply is the voltage level of the battery. Also, node 52 is clearly a "summing node". The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Contrary to Applicant's remarks, the "predetermined threshold voltage level" of the primary power supply is the voltage level of the battery. Also, node 52 is clearly a "summing node". The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Contrary to Applicant's remarks, the "predetermined threshold voltage level" of the primary power supply is the voltage level of the battery. Also, node 52 is clearly a "summing node". The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: 1, 4-14, 17-26, and 30
	Newly proposed or amended claims
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Contrary to Applicant's remarks, the "predetermined threshold voltage level" of the primary power supply is the voltage level of the battery. Also, node 52 is clearly a "summing node". The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed: 1, 4-14, 17-26, and 30 Claims objected to: Claims rejected: 27 and 29
	Newly proposed or amended claims
	Newly proposed or amended claims
	Newly proposed or amended claims